

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,438	01/23/2004	Andrew M. Hatch	HSTI 0135 PUS1/H50006AHST	6831
35312	7590 03/17/2006		EXAM	INER
BROOKS K	USHMAN P.C./ HEN	DOUYON,	DOUYON, LORNA M	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEL	SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238		1751	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/763,438	HATCH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Loma M. Douyon	1751		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIRS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FINED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja				
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11,	453 U.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	7	•		
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage		
Attachmen	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	any (PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail			

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-14, 16-52, drawn to a cleaning composition for formed metal articles,

classified in class 510, subclass 245.

II. Claim 15, drawn to a metallic article treated with a cleaning composition,

classified in class 428, subclass 543.

III. Claims 53-63, drawn to a method of cleaning a metal surface, classified in class

134, subclass 3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as made can be used in a materially different process

such as in softening fabrics.

3. Inventions I or III and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that

they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of

operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different

inventions have different modes of operation and different effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. A telephone call was made to James W. Proscia on March 14, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/763,438 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sorna M. Sewyon
Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751